

Introduction to Scalable Data Analytics using Apache Spark



http://www.csd.uoc.gr/~hy562 University of Crete, Fall 2024





- Big Data Problems: Distributing Work, Failures, Slow Machines
- What is Apache Spark?
- Core things of Apache Spark

RDD

- Core Functionality of Apache Spark
- Simple tutorial

Fall 2024



Big Data Problems: Distributing Work, Failures, Slow Machines



Hardware for Big Data



Bunch of Hard Drives

.... and CPUs

- The Big Data Problem
 - Data growing faster than CPU speeds
 - Data growing faster than per-machine storage
- Can't process or store all data on one machine



Hardware for Big Data

One big box ! (1990s solution)
 All processors share memory

Very expensive
 Low volume
 All "premium" HW

• Still not big enough!



Image: Wikimedia Commons / User: Tonusamuel



Hardware for Big Data

- Consumer-grade hardware
 Not "gold plated"
- Many desktop-like servers
 Easy to add capacity
 Cheaper per CPU/disk
- But, implies complexity in software



Image: Steve Jurvetson/Flickr



Problems with Cheap HW

- Failures, e.g. (Google numbers)
 1-5% hard drives/year
 - 0.2% DIMMs/year
- Network speeds vs. shared memory
 - Much more latency
 - Network slower than storage
- Uneven performance



Google Datacenter



The Opportunity

- Cluster computing is a game-changer!
- Provides access to low-cost computing and storage
- Costs decreasing every year
- The challenge is programming the resources
- What's hard about Cluster computing?
 How do we split work across machines?



Count the Number of Occurrences of each Word in a Document

"I am Sam I am Sam Sam I am Do you like Green eggs and ham?"



I: 3
am: 3
Sam: 3
do: 1
you: 1
like: 1

Fall 2024



"I am Sam

I am Sam

Sam I am

Do you like





'I am Sam I am Sam Sam I am Do you like











{ |: 1,

}

am: 1,

Sam: 1,







"I am Sam I am Sam Sam I am

Do you like





A Simple Parallel Approach



What's the problem with this approach?

Fall 2024



Results have to fit on one machine !



Can add aggregation layers but results must still fit on one machine



What if the Document is Really Big?



Fall 2024



What if the Document is Really Big?



Fall 2024



What About the Data? HDFS!

HDFS is a distributed file system designed to hold very large amounts of data (terabytes or even petabytes), and provide high-throughput access to this information

Files are stored in a <u>redundant</u> fashion across multiple machines to ensure their durability to failure and high availability to very parallel applications

HDFS is a block-structured file system:

- individual files are broken into blocks of a fixed size (default 128MB)
- These blocks are stored across a cluster of one or more machines (DataNodes)
- The NameNode stores all the metadata for the file system





HDFS nodes









How Do We Deal with Slow Tasks?



Launch another task!



MapReduce: Distributed Execution



Image: Wikimedia commons (RobH/Tbayer (WMF))

• Each stage passes through the hard drives

Fall 2024

Map Reduce: Iterative Jobs

Iterative jobs involve a lot of disk I/O for each repetition

Disk I/O is verv slow!



MapReduce is great at one-pass computation, but inefficient for multi-pass algorithms

The Weakness of MapReduce

 While MapReduce is simple, it can require asymptotically lots of disk I/O for complex jobs, interactive queries and online processing



• Commonly spend 90% of time doing I/O!



Tech Trend: Cost of Memory



• Lower cost means can put more memory in each server

http://www.jcmit.com/mem2014.htm



Modern Hardware for Big Data





Bunch of Hard Drives

.... and CPUs



... and memory!



Opportunity

- Keep more data in-memory
- Create new distributed execution engine:



- One of the most efficient programming frameworks offering abstraction and parallelism for clusters
- It hides complexities of:
 - Fault Tolerance
 - Slow machines
 - Network Failures

http://people.csail.mit.edu/matei/papers/2010/hotcloud_spark.pdf



Use Memory Instead of Disk





In-Memory Data Sharing



• 10-100x faster than network and disk!



In-Memory Can Make a Big Difference (2013) Two iterative Machine Learning algorithms:

K-means Clustering



Logistic Regression





In-Memory Can Make a Big Difference

PageRank



Fall 2024





RDDs Transformations Actions



Recall What's Hard with Big Data

- Complex combination of processing tasks, storage, systems and modes
 - ETL, aggregation, streaming, machine learning
- Hard to get both productivity and performance!



Spark's Philosophy

- Unified Engine: Fewer Systems to Master
 - Express an entire pipeline in one API
 - Interoperate with existing libraries and storage
- Richer Programming Model: improves usability for complex analytics
 - High-level APIs (RDDs, Data Frames, Data Pipelines)
 - Scala/Java/Python/R
 - Interactive shell (repl)
 - 2-10x less code (than MapReduce)

Memory Management: improves efficiency for complex analytics

- Avoid materializing data on HDFS after each iteration:
 ...up to 100x faster that Hadoop in memory
 ...or 10x faster on disk
- New fundamental data abstraction that is
 - easy to extend with new operators
 - allows for a more descriptive computing model
Fall 2024



A Brief History





Resilient Distributed Dataset (RDDs)

- Immutable collection of objects spread across a cluster (partitions)
 - Immutable once they are created
- Build through parallel transformations (map, filter)
 Diverse set of operators that offers rich data processing functionality
- Automatically rebuilt on (partial) failure
 They carry their lineage for fault tolerance
- Controllable persistence (e.g., cashing in RAM)

Fall 2024



http://datalakes.com/rdds-simplified/



RDD: Partitions

- RDDs are automatically distributed across the network by means of partitions
 - A partition is a logical division of data
 - RDD data is just a collection of partitions
 - Spark automatically decides the number of partitions when creating an RDD
 - All input, intermediate and output data will be presented as partitions
 - Partitions are basic units of parallelism
 - A task is launched per each partition



Two Types of Operations on RDDs

Transformation



Transformations are lazy: Framework keeps track of lineage Actions trigger actual execution: Transformations are executed when an action runs

Operator cache persists distributed data in memory or disk



RDD Cache - rdd.cache()

- If we need the results of an RDD many times, it is best to cache it
 - RDD partitions are loaded into the memory of the nodes that hold it
 - avoids re-computation of the entire lineage
 - in case of node failure compute the lineage again



http://datalakes.com/rdds-simplified/



Example: Mining Console Logs

 Load error messages from a log into memory, then interactively search for patterns



Result: scaled to 1 TB of data in 5-7 sec (vs 170 sec for on-disk data)



RDD operations - Transformations

- As in relational algebra, the application of a transformation to an RDD yields a new RDD (immutability)
- Transformations are lazily evaluated which allow for optimizations to take place before execution
 - The lineage keeps track of all transformations that have to be applied when an action happens



http://datalakes.com/rdds-simplified/

RDD Lineage (aka Logical Logging)

RDDs track the transformations used to build them (their lineage) to recompute lost data



M. Zaharia, et al, Resilient Distributed Datasets: A fault---tolerant abstraction for in---memory cluster computing, NSDI 2012 ⁵¹



Useful Transformations on RDDs

Transformation	Description
map	Return a new distributed dataset formed by passing each element of the source through a function <i>func</i> .
mapPartitions	Similar to map, but runs separately on each partition (block) of the RDD, so <i>func</i> must be of type Iterator <t> => Iterator<u> when running on an RDD of type T.</u></t>
filter	Return a new dataset formed by selecting those elements of the source on which <i>func</i> returns true.
sample	Sample a fraction <i>fraction</i> of the data, with or without replacement, using a given random number generator seed.
repartition	Reshuffle the data in the RDD randomly to create either more or fewer partitions and balance it across them. This always shuffles all data over the network.



More Useful Transformations on RDDs

Transformation	Description
groupByKey	When called on a dataset of (K, V) pairs, returns a dataset of (K, Iterable <v>) pairs.</v>
reduceByKey	When called on a dataset of (K, V) pairs, returns a dataset of (K, V) pairs where the values for each key are aggregated using the given reduce function <i>func</i> , which must be of type (V,V) => V. Like in groupByKey, the number of reduce tasks is configurable through an optional second argument.
aggregateByKey	When called on a dataset of (K, V) pairs, returns a dataset of (K, U) pairs where the values for each key are aggregated using the given combine functions and a neutral "zero" value. Allows an aggregated value type that is different than the input value type, while avoiding unnecessary allocations. Like in groupByKey, the number of reduce tasks is configurable through an optional second argument.
sortByKey	When called on a dataset of (K, V) pairs where K implements Ordered, returns a dataset of (K, V) pairs sorted by keys in ascending or descending order, as specified in the boolean ascending argument.
join	When called on datasets of type (K, V) and (K, W), returns a dataset of (K, (V, W)) pairs with all pairs of elements for each key. Outer joins are supported through leftOuterJoin, rightOuterJoin, and fullOuterJoin.



RDD Common Transformations: Examples

Unary	RDD	Result
rdd.map(x => x * x)	$\{1, 2, 3, 3\}$	$\{1, 4, 9, 9\}$
rdd.flatMap(line => line.split(" "))	{"hello world", "hi"}	{"hello", world", "hi"}
rdd.filter(x => x != 1)	$\{1, 2, 3, 3\}$	{2, 3, 3}
rdd.distinct ()	$\{1, 2, 3, 3\}$	$\{1, 2, 3\}$

Binary	RDD1	RDD2	Result
rdd.union(other)	$\{1, 2, 3\}$	{3,4,5}	$\{1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5\}$
rdd.intersection(other)	$\{1, 2, 3\}$	{3,4,5}	{3}
rdd.subtract(other)	$\{1, 2, 3\}$	{3,4,5}	{1, 2}
rdd.cartesian(other)	{1, 2, 3}	{3,4,5}	$\{(1,3),(1,4), \dots (3,5)\}$





RDD operations - Actions

- Apply transformation chains on RDDs, eventually performing some additional operations (e.g. counting)
 - i.e. trigger job execution
- Used to materialize computation results
- Some actions only store data from the RDD upon which the action is applied and convey it to the driver



RDD Actions

Action	Description
Take(n)	Return an array with the first <i>n</i> elements of the dataset.
TakeOrdered(n)	Return the first <i>n</i> elements of the RDD using either their natural order or a custom comparator.
First	Return the first element of the dataset (similar to take(1)(0)).
Collect	Return all the elements of the dataset as an array at the driver program. This is usually useful after a filter or other operation that returns a sufficiently small subset of the data.
Count	Return the number of elements in the dataset.
Reduce	Aggregate the elements of the dataset using a function <i>func</i> (which takes two arguments and returns one). The function should be commutative and associative so that it can be computed correctly in parallel.



RDD Actions: Examples

	RDD	Result
$rdd.reduce((x, y) \Rightarrow x + y)$	$\{1, 2, 3\}$	6
rdd.foreach(x=>println(x))	{1,2,3}	prints "1 2 3"

	RDD	Result
rdd.collect()	{1,2,3}	{1,2,3}
rdd.first()	$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$	1
rdd.count()	{1,2,3,3}	4
rdd.max()	{1,2,3,3}	3
rdd.top(2)	{1,2,3,3}	{3,3}

	RDD	Result
rdd.countByKey()	{(a,x),(a,y),(b,x)}	{(a,2),(b,1)}



Spark Word Count





Spark Word Count



RDDs and Lineage

RDDs and Optimizations

RDDs and Caching

RDDs can be materialized in memory (and on disk)!

Spark works even if the RDDs are *partially* cached!

Spark Architecture

Fall 2024

Scheduling Process

Scheduling Problems

- Supports general task graphs
- Pipelines functions where is possible
- Cache-aware data reuse and locality
- Partitioning-aware to avoid shuffles

Shuffle phase

- implemented through disk
- random I/O writes are problematic

Narrow vs Wide Dependencies

"Narrow" deps:

"Wide" (shuffle) deps:

https://trongkhoanguyen.com/spark/understand-rdd-operationstransformations-and-actions/

DataFrames & DataSets

- In 2015 Spark added DataFrames and Datasets as structured data APIs
- DataFrames are collections of rows with a fixed schema (table-like)
- Datasets add static types, e.g. Dataset[Person]

https://databricks.com/blog/2016/07/14/a-tale-of-three-apache-sparkapis-rdds-dataframes-and-datasets.html ⁶⁹

Static-Typing and Runtime Typesafety in Spark

Analysis errors reported before a distributed job starts

Fall 2024

DataFrames: Example

```
case class User(name: String, id: Int)
case class Message(user: User, text: String)
```

```
dataframe = sqlContext.read.json("log.json") // DataFrame, i.e. Dataset[Row]
messages = dataframe.as[Message]
```

```
// Dataset[Message]
```

```
users = messages.filter(m => m.text.contains("Spark"))
              .map(m => m.user) // Dataset[User]
```

pipeline.train(users) // MLlib takes either DataFrames or Datasets

Fall 2024

Where "Database Thinking" Can Get In The Way

Traditional Database Thinking

Pros

- Declarative Queries and Data Independence
 - Rich Query Operators, Plans and Optimization
 - Separation of Physical and Logical Layers
- Data existing independently of applications
 - Not as natural to most people as you'd think
- Importance of managing the storage hierarchy
 Cons
- Monolithic Systems and Control
- Schema First & High Friction
- The DB Lament: "We've seen it all before"

Adapted from Mike Carey, UCI

Adapted from Mike Carey, UCI

Fall 2024

From: Spark User Survey 2016, 1615 respondents from 900 organizations http://go.databricks.com/2016--spark--survey

COMPONENTS USED IN PROTOTYPING

From: Spark User Survey 2016, 1615 respondents from 900 organizations http://go.databricks.com/2016--spark--survey

% OF RESPONDENTS WHO CONSIDERED THE FEATURE VERY IMPORTANT

More than one feature could be selected.



Spark Ecosystem Features

- Spark focus was initially on
 - Performance + Scalability with Fault Tolerance
- Rapid evolution of functionality kept it growing especially across multiple modalities:
 - ◆DB,
 - Graph,
 - Stream,
 - ◆ML,
 - etc.
- Database thinking is moving Spark and much of the Hadoop ecosystem up the disruptive technology value curve



Spark and Map Reduce Differences

	Apache Hadoop MapReduce	Apache Spark	
Storage	Disk only	In-memory or on disk	
Operations	Map and Reduce	Many transformation and actions, including Map and Reduce	
Execution model	Batch	Batch, interactive, streaming	
Languages	Java	Scala, Java, R, and Python	



Other Spark and Map Reduce Differences

Generalized patterns for computation

provide unified engine for many use cases
require 2-5x less code

Lazy evaluation of the lineage graph
 can optimize, reduce wait states, pipeline better

Lower overhead for starting jobs

• Less expensive shuffles



Spark: Fault Tolerance

- Hadoop: Once computed, don't lose it
- Spark: Remember how to re-compute





Spark: Fault Tolerance

- Hadoop: Once computed, don't lose it
- Spark: Remember how to re-compute







Apache Spark Software Stack: Unified Vision



 Spark Unified pipeline can run today's most advanced algorithms



vs Apache Hadoop



- Sparse Modules
- Diversity of APIs
- Higher Operational Costs



Conclusions

- The Database field is seeing tremendous change from above and below
- Big Data software is a classic Disruptive Technology
- Database Thinking is key to moving up the value chain
- But we'll also have to shed some of our traditional inclinations in order to make progress

Fall 2024



Problems Suited for Map-Reduce



Example: Host size

- Suppose we have a large web corpus
- Look at the metadata file
 - Lines of the form: (URL, size, date, ...)
- For each host, find the total number of bytes
 - That is, the sum of the page sizes for all URLs from that particular host

Other examples:

- Link analysis and graph processing
- Machine Learning algorithms



Example: Language Model

Statistical machine translation:

 Need to count number of times every 5-word sequence occurs in a large corpus of documents

• Very easy with MapReduce:

- Map:
 - Extract (5-word sequence, count) from document
- Reduce:
 - Combine the counts



Example: Join By Map-Reduce

- Compute the natural join R(A,B) ⋈ S(B,C)
- R and S are each stored in files
- Tuples are pairs (*a*,*b*) or (*b*,*c*)

Α	В		В	С		Α	С
a ₁	b ₁	×	b ₂	C ₁	=	a ₃	C ₁
a ₂	b ₁		b_2	C ₂		a ₃	C ₂
a ₃	b ₂		b ₃	С ₃		a₄	C ₃
a ₄	b ₃		0	Ŭ			U
	2			5			



Map-Reduce Join

- Use a hash function h from B-values to 1...k
- A Map process turns:
 - Each input tuple R(a,b) into key-value pair (b,(a,R))
 - Each input tuple S(b,c) into (b,(c,S))

 Map processes send each key-value pair with key b to Reduce process h(b)

Hadoop does this automatically; just tell it what k is.

 Each Reduce process matches all the pairs (b,(a,R)) with all (b,(c,S)) and outputs (a,b,c).



Cost Measures for Algorithms

- In MapReduce we quantify the cost of an algorithm using
- 1. Communication cost = total I/O of all processes
- Elapsed communication cost = max of I/O along any path
- 3. (*Elapsed*) *computation cost* analogous, but count only running time of processes

Note that here the big-O notation is not the most useful (adding more machines is always an option)



Example: Cost Measures

•For a map-reduce algorithm:

- Communication cost = input file size + 2 × (sum of the sizes of all files passed from Map processes to Reduce processes) + the sum of the output sizes of the Reduce processes.
- Elapsed communication cost is the sum of the largest input + output for any map process, plus the same for any reduce process



What Cost Measures Mean

- Either the I/O (communication) or processing (computation) cost dominates
 - Ignore one or the other
- Total cost tells what you pay in rent from your friendly neighborhood cloud
- Elapsed cost is wall-clock time using parallelism



Cost of Map-Reduce Join

- Total communication cost
 - $= O(|\mathsf{R}| + |\mathsf{S}| + |\mathsf{R} \bowtie \mathsf{S}|)$
- Elapsed communication cost = O(s)
 - We're going to pick k and the number of Map processes so that the I/O limit s is respected
 - We put a limit s on the amount of input or output that any one process can have. s could be:
 - What fits in main memory
 - What fits on local disk
- With proper indexes, computation cost is linear in the input + output size
 - So computation cost is like comm. cost



References

- John Canny Distributed Analytics CS194-16 Introduction to Data Science UC Berkeley
- Michael Franklin Big Data Software: What's Next? (and what do we have to say about it?), 43rd VLDB Conference Munich August 2017
- Intro to Apache Spark http://cdn.liber118.com/workshop/itas_workshop.pdf
- Databricks Advanced Spark
- Pietro Michiardi Apache Spark Internals
- Madhukara Phatak. Anatomy of RDD
- Aaron Davidson. Building a unified data pipeline in Apache Spark
- MapR. Using Apache Spark DataFrames for Processing of Tabular Data
- Jules Damji. A Tale of Three Apache Spark APIs: RDDs vs DataFrames and Dataset
- Anton Kirillov. Apache Spark in depth: Core concepts, architecture&internals
- Patrick Wendell. Tuning and Debugging in Apache Spark



Related Papers

- Jeffrey Dean and Sanjay Ghemawat. MapReduce: Simplified Data Processing on Large Clusters. Originally OSDI 2004. CACM Volume 51 Issue 1, January 2008. http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1327492
- HaLoop: Efficient Iterative Data Processing on Large Clusters by Yingyi Bu et al. In VLDB'10: The 36th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, Singapore, 24-30 September, 2010.
- Resilient Distributed Datasets: A Fault-Tolerant Abstraction for In-Memory Cluster Computing. Matei Zaharia et al. NSDI (2012) usenix.org/system/files/conference/nsdi12/nsdi12-final138.pdf
- MLbase: A Distributed Machine-learning System. Tim Kraska et al. CIDR 2013. http://www.cs.ucla.edu/~ameet/mlbase.pdf
- Kay Ousterhout, Ryan Rasti, Sylvia Ratnasamy, Scott Shenker, and Byung-Gon Chun. 2015. Making sense of performance in data analytics frameworks. In Proceedings of the 12th USENIX Conference on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI'15). USENIX Association, Berkeley, CA, USA, 293-307.
- Michael Armbrust, Reynold S. Xin, Cheng Lian, Yin Huai, Davies Liu, Joseph K. Bradley, Xiangrui Meng, Tomer Kaftan, Michael J. Franklin, Ali Ghodsi, Matei Zaharia Spark SQL: Relational Data Processing in Spark